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#### Abstract

In this paper, we report the enantioselective formation of a dynamic noncovalent double rosette assembly $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{CYA})_{6}$ composed of three 2-pyridylcalix[4]arene dimelamines (1a) and six butylcyanuric acid molecules (BuCYA). The six 2-pyridyl functionalities of the assembly interact stereoselectively with chiral dicarboxylic acids $\mathbf{3 a - e}$ via two-point hydrogen-bonding interactions. One of the two enantiomeric assemblies ( $P$ - or $M$-) $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{CYA})_{6}$ is formed in excess as the result of the complexation of the chiral diacids, resulting in formation of optically active assemblies. The complexations with dibenzoly tartaric acids D-3a and L-3a (3 equivalent), respectively, leading to the formation of diastereomeric assemblies $(P)-1 \mathbf{a}_{3}$. (BuCYA) $)_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{D}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$ and $(\mathrm{M})-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$ with $90 \%$ diastereomeric excess. The diastereomeric excess in $(M)-1 \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(B u C Y A)_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-3 \mathbf{a})_{3}$ is "memorized" when $\mathrm{L}-3 \mathbf{a}$ is removed by precipitation with ethlylenediamine (EDA). The assembly $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(B u C Y A)_{6}$ is still optically active ( $90 \%$ enantiomeric excess), although none of its individual components are chiral. $(M)-1 \mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ has a high kinetic stability toward racemization ( $E_{\mathrm{a}}=119 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, half-life of $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ is ca. 1 week at $\left.20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.


## Introduction

Supramolecular chirality involves the nonsymmetrical arrangement of molecular components in noncovalent assemblies. ${ }^{1,2}$ With the increased interest in noncovalent synthesis, control of supramolecular chirality has become an important issue. Most studies focus on assemblies that are a combination of achiral and chiral components, which can assemble either one or both of the two possible diastereoisomers. ${ }^{3}$ Creation of enantiomerically pure assemblies is a lot more challenging. From a more philosophical point of view, amplification of one enantiomer in a dynamic noncovalent racemate may teach us valuable lessons on the origin of homochirality in nature. ${ }^{4}$

Two different methods for the chiral amplification of covalent systems can be found in the literature. The first method is based on covalent synthesis, in which a chiral source is introduced in macromolecular systems such as a polyisocyanate, ${ }^{5,6}$ polystyrenepolyisocyanodipeptide, ${ }^{7}$ polysilane, ${ }^{8}$ polythiophene, ${ }^{9}$ or a peptide nucleic acid. ${ }^{10}$ Even small amounts of covalently incorporated

[^0]chiral components govern the entire chiral structure of these macromolecules. This so-called Sergeants and Soldiers principle ${ }^{5}$ results in chiral amplification to give species that have a much higher optical activity than expected from the chiral-to-achiral ratio. The created optically active macromolecule is regarded

[^1]

Figure 1. $P$ - and $M$-isomers for $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ symmetrical rosette assemblies.
as a diastereoisomer, although it contains only a tiny amount of the chiral source. The second method is based on the chiral memory concept in noncovalent synthesis, from which enantiomerically pure covalent systems are created (e.g., cistransoidal polyacetylene, ${ }^{11}$ saddle-shaped porphyrin, ${ }^{12}$ cerium(IV) double decker porphyrin, ${ }^{13}$ and zinc (II) porphyrin dimer ${ }^{14}$ ). In these memory systems, first a chiral auxiliary, used as additive, interacts stereoselectively in a noncovalent manner to give preferentially one of the two possible enantiomeric forms. Then, the additive is removed or replaced by an achiral additive to preserve the induced chirality. ${ }^{11-14}$ The resulting enantiomer is still optically active, although none of its components are chiral.

We ${ }^{15}$ and others ${ }^{16}$ have reported chiral amplification via the Sergeants and Soldiers principle in noncovalent systems, resulting in highly ordered diastereomeric assemblies. However, to the best of our knowledge, chiral amplification combined with the chiral memory concept for the formation of enantiomeric assemblies has never been previously reported. Moreover, chiral memory is rare in nocovalent supramolecular assemblies, ${ }^{17}$ because the additives that induce or preserve the supramolecular chirality often interfere with the noncovalent interactions that hold the assembly together. ${ }^{18,19}$ Rebek et al. have reported a nice example of an enantioselective synthesis of a hydrogenbonded dimeric capsule by inclusion of a suitable chiral guest molecule. ${ }^{20}$ The induced supramolecular chirality is preserved

[^2]when the chiral guest is replaced by an achiral one. The enantioselectivity is modest ( $50 \%$ ee) and the lifetime is limited ( $t_{1 / 2}$, ca. $10-20 \mathrm{~h}$ ).

We have been extensively studying hydrogen-bonded assemblies of type $\mathbf{1}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{CYA})_{6}$ composed of nine different components, that is, three calix[4]arene dimelamines $\mathbf{1}$ and six cyanuric acid (CYA) derivatives (Figure 1). ${ }^{21} \mathrm{~A}$ total of 36 cooperative hydrogen bonds hold the components together forming a double rosette. In this type of assembly, 3 isomeric forms ( $D_{3}, C_{5}$, and $C_{3 h}$ ) are possible, from which the chiral $D_{3^{-}}$ isomer is predominantly formed. ${ }^{22}$ In the absence of chiral components in the assembly, the $D_{3}$-isomer exists as a racemic mixture of the $P$ - and $M$-enantiomers (Figure 1). However, chiral centers introduced in either $\mathbf{1}$ or CYA fully induce chirality in the assembly and only one of the two diastereoisomers is formed. ${ }^{23}$ More interestingly, when the chiral components are replaced by achiral ones, enantiomerically pure assemblies are obtained. These enantiomeric assemblies have high kinetic stability toward racemization with long lifetimes of ca. 3-4 days. ${ }^{24}$ In these systems, the enantiomerically pure assemblies are obtained from the corresponding pure diastereoisomers and not by resolution of the enantiomeric racemic mixture.

In this paper, we report the enantioselective formation of chiral double rosette assemblies from a racemic dynamic mixture of $P$ - and $M$-enantiomers. Six amino functionalities positioned on the assembly interact with chiral carboxylic acid auxiliaries via amine-carboxylic acid interaction to diastereoselectively form a $P$ - or $M$-assembly. ${ }^{25}$ Subsequently, the chiral acids are removed by complexation with an added amine and the

[^3]
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enantiomeric assembly ( $P$ - or $M-$ ) is obtained. These enantiomeric assemblies ( $90 \%$ ee in the best case) have a very long lifetime ( $t_{1 / 2}$, ca. 1 week).

## Results and Discussion

Preparation. Calix[4]arene-dimelamines 1a-c with two pendant pyridyl groups were prepared from bis(chlorotriazine) 1d by treatment with the corresponding aminomethylpyridines, that is, 2-aminomethylpyridine, 3 -aminomethylpyridine, and 4 -aminomethylpyridine, at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Chart 1). The pyridyl groups are introduced in the double rosette assembly $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{c}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ as binding sites for chiral carboxylic acids.

Chiral Induction in 2-Pyridyl Assembly System 1a3. (BuCYA) $\mathbf{6}_{6}$. We studied the complexation of double rosette assemblies $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ with a variety of chiral dicarboxylic acids 3. Typically, 3 equiv of $\mathbf{3}$ were added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}(1.0 \mathrm{mM})$ in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ratio of $\mathrm{COOH} /$ pyridine $=1 / 1$ ) and the mixtures were equilibrated over 15 h . The interaction between the assembly and the chiral diacid becomes apparent from shifts and splitting of signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. For example, in L-3a (3 equiv) the signals $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}$, $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{h}}$, and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{i}}$ protons are split and shifted because of the formation of the diastereomeric assemblies $(M)-\mathbf{1 a} 3^{*}(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}{ }^{\bullet}$ $(\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$ and $(P)-\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{3}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$. These are no longer mirror images and exhibit different signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 2). ${ }^{23}$

The ratio of these signals shows that most diacids $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{e}$ bind preferentially to either the $M$ - or the $P$-enantiomer of assembly $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$. This leads to amplification of that particular enantiomer in the mixture, as both enantiomers are in dynamic equilibrium. Diastereomeric excess (de) is estimated from the

Table 1. Induction of Chirality in Assembly $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ as a Result of the Addition of Chiral Acids $\mathbf{2 a - c}$ and $\mathbf{3 a - \mathbf { e } ^ { \mathbf { a } }}$

| run | $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ | de $(\%)^{b}$ | helicity $^{d}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{306}(\mathrm{mdeg})^{e}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | $(R) \mathbf{- 2 a}$ | 0 |  | 0 |
| 2 | $(R) \mathbf{- 2 b}$ | $8-10^{c}$ | M | 5.6 |
| 3 | $(R) \mathbf{- 2 c}$ | 0 |  | 0 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a}$ | 90 | M | 53.0 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{D}-\mathbf{3 a}$ | 90 | P | -52.3 |
| 6 | L-3b | 36 | M | 18.8 |
| 7 | L-3c | 30 | M | 18.0 |
| 8 | $(1 R, 2 R) \mathbf{- 3 d}$ | 17 | M | 9.8 |
| 9 | $(1 S, 3 R)-\mathbf{3 e}$ | $5-\mathbf{6}^{c}$ | M | 3.3 |

[^4]integration ratio of the split NMR signals of either $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$, or $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{h}}$ (Figure 2). The addition of dicarboxylic acids $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{e}$ (3 equiv) gives a very high enantioselectivity. The highest selectivity was achieved with 3a ( $90 \%$ de, runs 4 and 5 in Table 1). The high de values found for dicarboxylic acids are ascribed to two-point hydrogen-bonding interaction between one molecule of $\mathbf{3}$ and the two 2-pyridine moieties of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot{ }^{26}$ In contrast, when monocarboxylic acids $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{c}$ ( 6 equiv, ratio of $\mathrm{COOH} /$ pyridine $=1 / 1$ ) were added, only $0-10 \%$ de were obtained (runs 1-3 in Table 1). In this case, the 2-pyridyl moiety in $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ probably forms a complex with $\mathbf{2 a}-\mathbf{c}$ only by a one-point interaction.

In the absence of the chiral auxiliary, the racemic mixture of $P$ - and $M$-enantiomers is not CD-active. When the chiral acids are added, the CD spectra of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ show reproducible Cotton effects (Figure 3). This indicates that the chiral acids interact with assembly $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\text { BuCYA })_{6}$, thus enforcing an excess of either the $P$ - or the $M$-enantiomer in the mixture. Generally, we found that chiral L-acids induce $M$-helicity, as indicated from a positive CD sign. ${ }^{23}$ Similarly, $P$-helicity is induced by D-acids. The CD spectra for the complexes $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$ and $(P)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{D}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$ are almost perfect mirror images (Figure 3).

There are two possible modes of complexation that could account for the two-point interactions found in the dicarboxylic acid-complexations, that is, sideways (diacid interacting with both floors of the double rosette) or on top (or bottom) positions of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$, (for the side complexation see Figure 4). CPK models indicate that only the sideways complexation is possible, because in the top (or bottom) complexation the distance between the 2-pyridyl groups on the same rosette floor is too large to interact with $\mathbf{3}$ in a two-point fashion. The distance between two neighboring pyridyl groups on different rosette floors is smaller (Figure 4). Such a chiral arrangement is very suitable for the complexation with L-3a, in which the two carboxyl groups are preorganized in a trans-configuration. ${ }^{27}$

Influence of Pyridine Moiety in Assemblies. The observed selectivity for complexation of chiral diacids $\mathbf{3}$ is very sensitive to structural changes in the pyridyl functionalities. In contrast

[^5]

Figure 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}(1 \mathrm{mM})$ in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of (a) 3 equiv and (b) 0 of $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}$.


Figure 3. CD spectra of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}(1 \mathrm{mM})$ in toluene $-d_{8}$ in the presence of $(R)-\mathbf{2 b}$ ( 6 equiv), L-3a, D-3a, L-3b, L-3c, $(1 R, 2 R)-\mathbf{3 d},(1 S, 3 R)-$ 3e (3 equiv) (in $0.01-\mathrm{cm}$ width cell at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
to assembly $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ with a 2 -pyridyl group, $\mathbf{1 b}_{3}{ }^{\bullet}$ $(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ with a 3-pyridyl and 4-pyridyl groups, respectively, are formed as mixtures of $D_{3^{-}}, C_{3 h^{-}}$, and $C_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetrical isomers. ${ }^{22}$ Upon the addition of $\mathbf{3}$, the ratio of the three isomers changed and the population of $D_{3}$-isomer increased (Tables 2 and 3). ${ }^{28}$ Upon the addition of $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{d}$ to


Figure 4. Chiral arrangement of the binding sites for the complexation of $(M)-\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ with L-3a.
$\mathbf{1 b}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$, in the CD spectra Cotton effects were also observed, but judging from the CD intensity at 306 nm , a high chiral induction could not be achieved (Tables 2 and 3). $\mathbf{1 c}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ formed gel and gel-like viscous solutions with 3a-c (Table 3). ${ }^{29}$ These results indicate that only the 2 -pyridyl functionality is suitable for complexation with the chiral dicarboxylic acid and to induce a preference for the $P$ or $M$-enantiomer in the racemic mixture.
(28) The change of the isomer ratio could be ascribed to the polarity change of medium by the additions of polar additives $\mathbf{3}$ because generally population of $D_{3}$-isomer tends to increase with increasing solvent polarity.
(29) The one-dimensional aggregates formed in the gel samples may be ascribed to intermolecular pyridine - carboxylic acid interactions. For reviews of low-molecular-weight organogels see: (a) van Esch, J.; Schoonbeek, F.; de Loose, M.; Veen, E. M.; Kellogg, R. M.; Feringa, B. L. Supramol. Sci. 1999, 6, 233-259. (b) Shinkai, S.; Murata, K. J. Mater. Chem. 1998, 8, 485-495. (c) Terech, P.; Weiss, R. G. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 3133-3159.

Table 2. Induction of Chirality in Assembly $\mathbf{1 b}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ as a Result of the Addition of Chiral Acids 3a- $\mathbf{e}^{\text {a }}$

| run | $\mathbf{3}$ | $D_{3} / C_{3 h} C_{s}{ }^{b}$ | helicity $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{306}$ (mdeg) ${ }^{d}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 |  | $78: 10: 12$ |  |  |
| 2 | L-3a | $80: 12: 8$ |  | $e$ |
| 3 | L-3b | $89: 5: 6$ | M | 8.0 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{~L}-3 \mathrm{c}$ | $73: 15: 12$ | P | -1.5 |
| 5 | $(1 R, 2 R) \mathbf{3 d}$ | $81: 9: 10$ | M | 12.9 |
| 6 | $(1 S, 3 R)-\mathbf{3 e}$ | $83: 9: 8$ |  | 0 |

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: toluene- $d_{8},\left[\mathbf{1 b}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{mM},[\mathbf{3}] /\left[\mathbf{1 b}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]$ $=3 / 1$, at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Under these conditions the assemblies were quantitatively formed as a mixture of $D_{3^{-}}, C_{3 h^{-}}$, and $C_{\mathrm{s}^{-}}$-isomers. ${ }^{b}$ Molar ratio of $D_{3^{-}}$, $C_{3 h^{-}}$, and $C_{s}$-isomers determined by integration of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals $\mathrm{H}^{a}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{b} .{ }^{c}$ Helicity of the preferentially formed isomer ( $M$ - or $P-$ ) of assembly $\mathbf{1 b}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$, assigned on the basis of the CD sign. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{CD}$ intensity (mdeg) at $306 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{e}$ Could not be determined because of precipitation.

Table 3. Induction of Chirality in Assembly 1c $3_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ as a Result of the Addition of Chiral Acids 3a- $\mathbf{e}^{a}$

| run | 3 | yield $(\%)^{b}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{3} / C_{3 h} C_{s}^{f}$ | helicity ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{306}$ (mdeg) ${ }^{i}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 90 | $20: 20: 60$ |  |  |
| 2 | L-3a | $0^{c}$ |  | h | $-12.3^{j}$ |
| 3 | L-3b | $20^{d}$ |  | P | -1.1 |
| 4 | L-3c | $40^{d}$ |  |  | 0 |
| 5 | $(1 R, 2 R)$-3d | 80 | $83: 4: 13$ | P | -0.8 |
| 6 | $(1 S, 3 R)-3 \mathrm{e}$ | $e$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: toluene- $d_{8},\left[\mathbf{1 c}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{mM},[\mathbf{3}] /\left[\mathbf{1} \mathbf{c}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]$ $=3 / 1$, at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b}$ The assembly formation was determined by integration of the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ and the $\mathrm{NH} / \mathrm{ArH}^{h}$ proton signals in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. ${ }^{c}$ Gel. ${ }^{d}$ Gel-like viscous solution. ${ }^{e}$ Precipitate. ${ }^{f}$ Molar ratio of $D_{3^{-}}, C_{3 h^{-}}$, and $C_{s}$-isomers determined by integration of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals $\mathrm{H}^{a}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{b} .{ }^{g}$ Helicity of the preferentially formed isomer ( $M$ - or $P$-) of assembly $\mathbf{1 c}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$, assigned on the basis of the CD sign. ${ }^{h}$ The different CD pattern was observed. ${ }^{i} \mathrm{CD}$ intensity (mdeg) at $306 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{j} \mathrm{CD}$ intensity (mdeg) at 312 nm .


Figure 5. Job plot for the complexation of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ with $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 b}$ : the sum of $\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]$ and $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 b}]$ was maintained constant $(4 \mathrm{mM}$ in toluene).

## Stoichiometry of the Complexes of Double Rosette As-

 semblies with Diacids. The stoichiometry of the complexes of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ with $\mathbf{3}$ was determined by a Job plot analysis (Figure 5). ${ }^{30}$ The plot of the CD intensity at 306 nm versus the ratio of $\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right] /\left(\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]+[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 b}]\right)$ exhibits a clear maximum at 0.33 , suggesting the formation of $1: 2$ complex $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 b})_{2}$ as the main species (Scheme 1). ${ }^{31}$[^6]Scheme 1. Schematic Representation of the Cooperative Interaction of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ with L-3


More valuable information about the structure of the complex of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ with $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained from CD titration studies. The CD intensity at 306 nm increases nonlinearly upon addition of L-3a or L-3b (Figure 6). Interestingly, both the two CD titrations display sigmoidal curvatures, which is a sign of homotropic positive allosterism arising from cooperativity in the complexation process (Figure 6)..$^{32}$ The cooperativity was analyzed using the Hill eq $1,{ }^{33}$ where $[G]$ is the concentration of guest ( $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}$ or $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 b}$ ), $K$ is the association constant, $n$ is the Hill coefficient, $\mathrm{CD}_{\text {obs }}$ is the observed CD intensity, and $\mathrm{CD}_{\text {sat }}$ is the saturated CD intensity. ${ }^{34}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y=K /\left([G]^{-n}+K\right)=\mathrm{CD}_{\mathrm{obs}} / \mathrm{CD}_{\mathrm{sat}} \\
& \log (y /(1-y))=n \log [G]+\log K \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

From a plot of $\log (y /(1-y))$ against $\log [G]$, values of $\log K=$ 5.64 and $n=1.96$ were calculated for L-3a (correlation coefficient, 0.997). For L-3b, values of $\log K=5.38$ and $n=$ 1.82 (correlation coefficient, 0.999 ) were obtained (for details see supporting data). Generally, $n$ expresses not only the degree of cooperativity but also the number of binding sites. Thus, these $n$ values $1.82-1.96$ agree well with the result of the Job plot, which indicate that the main species is the $1: 2$ complex $\mathbf{1 a}_{3}{ }^{\circ}$ $(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-3)_{2}$. The observed positive allosterism was also analyzed by Scatchard plots, ${ }^{35}$ in which Hill coefficients ( $n$ )

[^7]Scheme 2. Formation of the Enantiomeric Assembly $(M)-1 \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\text { BuCYA })_{6}$ and Its Racemization

$(M)-1 \mathrm{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-3 \mathrm{a})_{3}$

(precipitate)


Figure 6. Plots of CD values (mdeg) at 306 nm versus [L-3a or $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 b}$ ]/ $\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]$ for the titrations of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ by L-3a and L-3b: in toluene- $d_{8},\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=1 \mathrm{mM}$, at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
are correlated with the maximum values $\left(y_{\max }\right)$ according to a equation of $n=1 /\left(1-y_{\max }\right)$. The positive or negative allosterism is expressed by the upward and downward curvature, respectively. From the plots of $y$ versus $y /[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}$ or $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 b}]$, we have obtained values of $y_{\max }$ around $0.5(n=2.0)$ with upward curvatures (see supporting data). The plots are rather flat, indicating that the cooperativity is not so high. This means that also $1: 1$ and $1: 3$ complexes $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3})$ and $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot{ }^{\cdot}$ (BuCYA) $)_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-3)_{3}$ will be present as minor species (Scheme 1). The formation of a $1: 2$ complex as the main species might be attributed to either simple steric effects or allosteric conformational changes, ${ }^{32}$ but the details are not yet clear.

Memory of Induced Supramolecular Chirality. The induced supramolecular $M$-chirality in the diastereomeric assembly $(M)-\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$ is preserved when the $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}$ moieties are removed by complexation with ethylenediamine (EDA), leading to formation of mainly the $M$-enantiomer of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3}{ }^{\circ}$ (BuCYA) ${ }^{(S c h e m e ~ 2) . ~}$

The removal of $\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}$ from $(M) \mathbf{- 1} \mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$ can be monitored by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. After the addition of L-3a ( 3 equiv) to the racemic mixture $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}(>15 \mathrm{~h})$, each singlet signal $\mathrm{H}^{a}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{b}}$ is shifted upfield and split to a pair of singlets (Figure 7c,b). By the subsequent addition of 3 equiv of EDA, within a few minutes the initial resonances were regenerated, indicating the complete removal of L-3a (Figure 7a). Under these present conditions, no resonances corresponding to EDA and L-3a species are observed in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. The removal of L-3a is also easily visible, because a precipitate is formed which was identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR


Figure 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}(1 \mathrm{mM})$ with L-3a and EDA in toluene $-d_{8}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : (a) with 3 equiv of L-3a and subsequently with 3 equiv of EDA, (b) with 3 equiv of L-3a, (c) without L-3a and EDA.
spectroscopy and elemental analysis as the EDA $\cdot \mathrm{L}-3 \mathbf{a}$ 1:1 complex. ${ }^{36}$ Thus, by using stoichiometric amounts of EDA (3 equiv) the chiral auxiliary L-3a is completely removed as a precipitate and only assembly $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ is present in solution predominately as an enantiomer with the $M$-chirality. The induced chirality is memorized by the assembly, and ( $M$ )$\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ is obtained with an optical purity of $90 \%$ ee (enantiomeric excess).

The preservation of the optical purity of $(M) \mathbf{- 1} \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ is corroborated by means of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration studies. The integration ratio of the two $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a} 1}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{a} 2}$ peaks (see Figure 7b), which correspond to $M$ and $P$ isomers, respectively, was not changed when $0,0.6,1.2$, and 1.8 equiv of EDA were added to $(M)-1 \mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$. Further evidence was obtained from CD spectroscopy. After the addition of EDA to $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot$ (BuCYA) $6^{\cdot}(\mathrm{L}-3 \mathbf{3 a})_{3}$, at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the assembly was still CD-active even in the absence of any chiral sources. The magnitude of the CD signal was of the same order before and after the addition of EDA (Figure 8). The slow racemization rate of $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot$ (BuCYA) $)_{6}$ is also in line with the preservation of $90 \%$ ee, as described below in detail. ${ }^{37}$

[^8]

Figure 8. CD spectra of $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$ and $(M)-\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{3}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ in toluene- $d_{8}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The two assemblies were obtained from the racemic mixture of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ by treatment with L-3a and subsequently with EDA: $\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=1 \mathrm{mM},[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=3 / 1,[\mathrm{EDA}] /$ [L-3a] $=1 / 1$ (condition A$)$.

Table 4. Rate Constants ( $k_{\mathrm{rac}}, \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ ) for the Racemization of $(M)-1 \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(B u C Y A){ }_{6}{ }^{a}$

| temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | condition $\mathrm{A}^{b}$ | condition $\mathrm{B}^{b}$ | condition $\mathrm{C}^{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | $1.93 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.92 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.82 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 70 | $6.30 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.08 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.48 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 60 | $1.67 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.65 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.22 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 60 | $1.61 \times 10^{-4} c$ |  |  |
| 60 | $1.67 \times 10^{-4 d}$ |  |  |
| 50 | $4.56 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.04 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.42 \times 10^{-4}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: toluene- $d_{8},\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=1 \mathrm{mM} .{ }^{b}$ Condition A: $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=3 / 1$, $[\mathrm{EDA}] /[\mathrm{L}-3 \mathbf{3}]=1 / 1$; Condition B: $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /$ $\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=2.1 / 1,[\mathrm{EDA}] /[\mathrm{L}-3 \mathrm{a}]=1 / 1$; Condition C: $[\mathrm{L}-3 \mathbf{3}] /$ $\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=2.1 / 1,[\mathrm{EDA}] /[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}]=2 / 1 .{ }^{c}\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=2 \mathrm{mM}$. ${ }^{d}\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=0.5 \mathrm{mM}$.

Racemization of Enantiomeric Assembly. To determine the kinetic stability of $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$, the time dependence of CD intensity at 306 nm was monitored under three different conditions: Condition A: $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=3 / 1,[\mathrm{EDA}] /$ $[\mathrm{L}-3 \mathbf{a}]=1 / 1$; Condition B: $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=2.1 / 1$, $[\mathrm{EDA}] /[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}]=1 / 1$; Condition $\mathrm{C}:[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=$ $2.1 / 1,[\mathrm{EDA}] /[\mathrm{L}-3 \mathbf{a}]=2 / 1$.

Under condition A, the CD intensity at 306 nm hardly decreased after 3 h (only $2-3 \%$ reduction), indicating that ( $M$ )$\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ is kinetically very stable and its racemization is very slow at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 9c). At higher temperatures (50, 60, 70 , and $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) the kinetic stability decreases (Figure 9c). The time-dependent CD changes follow first-order kinetics (Scheme $2)$. The rate constants $\left(k_{\text {rac }}\right)$ for the racemization of $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3}{ }^{\bullet}$ (BuCYA) 6 were estimated by linear regression analysis (Table 4). The racemization was not dependent on the concentration, supporting the first-order kinetic model (Table 4).

Arrhenius plots of $k_{\text {rac }}$ (Figure 10), give the thermodynamic parameters. Under condition A, values for $E_{\mathrm{a}}=119 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, $\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{20}=107 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \Delta H^{\ddagger}{ }_{20}=116 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}{ }_{20}=$ $32.8 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ were obtained. The parameters indicate that the induced chirality would be preserved for ca. 1 week at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for ca. 1 year at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (half-life time). Almost the same kinetic stability was observed under condition B (Figure 9b). ${ }^{38}$ The observed high kinetic stability of this system (conditions A and B ) is attributed to the need to break 12 hydrogen bonds


Figure 9. Time dependence of the CD intensity (mdeg) at 306 nm reflecting the racemization of $(M)-1 \mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ at $20,50,60,70$, and $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (in toluene- $\left.d_{8},\left[\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=1 \mathrm{mM}\right)$ : (a) $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=$ $2.1 / 1,[\mathrm{EDA}] /[\mathrm{L}-3 \mathrm{a}]=2 / 1($ condition C$)$, $(\mathrm{b})[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[1 \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=$ $2.1 / 1,[\mathrm{EDA}] /[\mathrm{L}-3 \mathbf{3}]=1 / 1($ condition B$)$, (c) $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=3 / 1$, $[\mathrm{EDA}] /[\mathrm{L}-3 \mathrm{a}]=1 / 1($ condition A$)$.
for the dissociation of one calix[4]arene-dimelamine moiety from $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$, which is the rate-determinating step in the dissociative racemization mechanism (Scheme 3). ${ }^{24}$

Previously, we have reported the formation of an enantiomerically pure double rosette assembly based on a memory

[^9]Scheme 3. Schematic Representation of the Dissoiative Mechanism for Racemization between $(M)-1 \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ and $(P)-1 \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$


Scheme 4. Interconversion between $(M)-\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$ and $(P)-1 \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$

complexation needed only $\sim 15 \mathrm{~h}$ to reach equilibrium at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To elucidate the rapid interconversion within the system, we monitored the time dependence of CD intensity at 306 nm under two different conditions (Figure 11): Condition X: [L-3a]/ $\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{BuCYA}_{6}\right]=2.1 / 1\right.$; Condition Y: $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]$ $=1 / 1$.

The observed time-dependent CD changes were analyzed according to the model presented in Scheme 4 and the rate constants $k_{1}$ (from $M$ to $P$ ) and $k_{2}$ (from $P$ to $M$ ) were estimated by linear regression analysis (Table 5). In this model, we used the assumption that in the dynamic equilibrium the two diastereoisomers, $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$ and $(P)-\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot$ $(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-3 \mathbf{3})_{n}$, could be regarded as averaged mixtures of $1: 0,1: 1,1: 2$, and $1: 3$ complexes. ${ }^{40}$

The estimated $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ values change with the amount of L-3a (Table 5). At $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the interconversion rate under condition $X$ ( 2.1 equiv of $\mathrm{L}-3 \mathrm{3a}$ ) is 5-6 times faster compared to that of the condition Y (1.0 equiv of L-3a). ${ }^{41}$ The results suggest that the $P$ - and $M$-interconversion is catalyzed by L-3a similarly to the EDA-catalyzed racemization described above. Thus, the diacid L-3a acts as both chiral auxiliary to induce chirality and catalyst to accelerate $P$ - and $M$-interconversion. The acid L-3a can interact with the basic nitrogen of the melamine in the assembly dissociating one calix[4]arenedimelamine moiety.

Arrhenius plots of the $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ values (Figure 12) gave activation energies $\left(E_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ of 63.8 (from $M$ to $P$ ) and 45.3 (from $P$ to $M$ ) $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ under condition X or 92.9 (from $M$ to $P$ ) and $87.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ (from $P$ to $M$ ) under condition Y. The small $E_{\mathrm{a}}$
(40) The $P$ - and $M$-interconversion process is slow on the NMR time scale, as indicated by the separated signals corresponding to the $P$ - and $M$-isomers. In contrast, the pyridine-carboxylic acid exchange process is quite rapid because we observed only an averaged spectrum of $1: 0,1: 1,1: 2$, and $1: 3$ complexes of each $P$ - and $M$-isomer.
(41) By increasing the temperature, the trend inverted (Table 5). At $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a slow interconversion was observed under the condition X (2.1 equiv of L-3a), suggesting that at higher temperature the $P$ - and $M$-interconversion involves both the catalyzed and uncatalyzed pathways.


Figure 11. Time dependence of the CD intensity (mdeg) at 306 nm reflecting the interconversion between $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$ and $(P)$ $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot($ (BuCYA $) \cdot \cdot(\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$ at $20,30,40,50$, and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : in toluene- $d_{8},\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=1 \mathrm{mM}$; (a) $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=1.0 / 1($ condition Y), $(\mathrm{b})$ $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=2.1 / 1($ condition X$)$.

Table 5. Rate Constants ( $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ ) for the Interconversion between $(M)-1 \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$ and $(P)-1 \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-3 \mathbf{a})_{n}{ }^{a}$

| condition $^{b}$ | temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\left[\mathrm{M} /[\mathrm{P}]^{\mathrm{c}}\right.$ | $k_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)^{d}$ | $k_{1}\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)^{e}$ | $k_{2}\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)^{e}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | 60 | $71.5 / 28.5$ | $7.72 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.20 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.52 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| X | 50 | $75.5 / 24.5$ | $4.43 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.06 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.27 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| X | 40 | $80 / 20$ | $2.04 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.07 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.63 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| X | 30 | $84.5 / 15.5$ | $1.05 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.61 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.84 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| X | 20 | $87 / 13$ | $5.58 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.25 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.85 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Y | 60 | $58 / 42$ | $1.95 \times 10^{-3}$ | $8.19 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.13 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| Y | 50 | $60 / 40$ | $4.36 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.74 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.61 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Y | 40 | $62 / 38$ | $1.57 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.95 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.70 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Y | 30 | $63.5 / 36.5$ | $5.15 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.88 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.27 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| Y | 20 | $65 / 35$ | $1.23 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.31 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.00 \times 10^{-6}$ |

[^10]values observed under condition X suggest that the acidcatalyzed $P$ - and $M$-interconversion is significantly accelerated, when excess of L-3a was used.

## Conclusions

In this study, we have demonstrated that addition of an external chiral auxiliary to a racemic mixture of $P$ - and


Figure 12. Arrhenius plots for the interconversion between $(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3}{ }^{-}$ $(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$ and $(P)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}:\left(\right.$ (a) $[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1} \mathbf{a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]$ $=1.0 / 1$ (condition Y) (for data and conditions see Table 5): $k_{1}(\odot)$ and $k_{2}$ ( $\mathbf{\Delta})$, $(\mathrm{b})[\mathrm{L}-\mathbf{3 a}] /\left[\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\right]=2.1 / 1($ condition X$)$.
$M$-assemblies leads to the formation of mainly one of the two possible diastereomeric assemblies. The enantioselectivity is ascribed to self-selection between $M$-enantiomeric assembly and L-diacid or between $P$-assembly and D-diacid. More interestingly, removal of the chiral auxiliary leaves one of the original enantiomers in $90 \%$ ee. This enantiomer is kinetically very stable and racemizes only slowly.

## Experimental Section

General. All melting points are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer BX spectrophotometer and measured as KBr pellets. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were determined in toluene- $d_{8}$, DMSO$d_{6}$, or $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ with a Varian Unity 300 spectrometer. Residual solvent protons were used as internal standard and chemical shifts are given relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS). FAB-MS spectra were recorded with a Finnigan MAT 90 spectrometer with m-nitrobenzyl alcohol (NBA) as a matrix. CD spectra were measured on a JASCO J-715 spectropolarimeter in a $0.01-\mathrm{cm}$ width cell. Chiral carboxylic acids 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ are commercially available and were used without purification. Compound 1d was prepared according to methods described previously. ${ }^{21}$

5,17- $N, N^{\prime}$-Bis $\{4$-amino-6-[(2-pyridyl)methylamino]-1,3,5-triazine-2-yl\}diamino-25,26,27,28-tetrakis(propyl)calix[4]arene (1a). A suspension of $\mathbf{1 d}(220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 2-aminomethylpyridine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h under a nitrogen atmosphere. After the
reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, water $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The white precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The obtained white solid was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) and methanol ( 3 mL ), and then $n$-hexane ( 5 mL ) was added. The obtained white precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with $n$-hexane ( 3 mL ) to give $\mathbf{1 a}$ in $80 \%$ yield ( $205 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 275-276{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) v_{\max } 3308-3100\left(v_{\mathrm{NH}}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 0.87,1.07$ $\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, each $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.76-1.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.91,3.09$ (br-d, $J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, each $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.77-3.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.17-4.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$, 4.54-4.65 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right), 6.02-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and NH), 7.10$7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and NH), 7.66-7.78 (m, 2 H, ArH), 8.33-8.66 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and NH); FAB-MS (positive, NBA) $1023.4\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right.$, calcd 1023.5]. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 68.08; H, 6.50; N , 19.16. Found: C, 67.78; H, 6.55; N, 19.45 .

5,17-N, $N^{\prime}$-Bis $\{4$-amino-6-[(3-pyridyl)methylamino]-1,3,5-triazine-2-yl\}diamino-25,26,27,28-tetrakis(propyl)calix[4]arene (1b). According to a method similar to the preparation of $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{1 b}$ was obtained in $33 \%$ yield from $\mathbf{1 d}$ and 3-aminomethylpyridine. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting with dichloromethane/methanol/ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(95: 4.5: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to give $\mathbf{1 b}$ as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 181-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3310-3100\left(v_{\mathrm{NH}}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.87,1.05\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, each $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 1.77-1.97 (m, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.85-3.14 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.56-3.66$, 3.82-3.93 (m, each $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.22-4.38\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 4.46-$ $4.58\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right), 6.12-6.40(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and NH$), 7.22-7.49$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and NH), 7.63-7.77 (m, 2 H, ArH), 8.35-8.69 (m, 6 H , ArH and NH); FAB-MS (positive, NBA) $1023.5\left[(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right.$, calcd 1023.5]. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 68.08; H, 6.50; N, 19.16. Found: C, 67.84; H, 6.30; N, 19.06.

5,17-N, $N^{\prime}$-Bis $\{4$-amino-6-[(4-pyridyl)methylamino]-1,3,5-triazine-2-yl\}diamino-25,26,27,28-tetrakis(propyl)calix[4]arene (1c). According to a method similar to the preparation of 1a, 1c was obtained in $50 \%$ yield from 1d and 4-aminomethylpyridine. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting with dichloromethane/methanol/ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(95: 4.5: 0.5, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to give 1 c as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 178-180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3310-3100\left(\nu_{\mathrm{NH}}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.75-1.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.76-2.96,3.02-3.14(\mathrm{~m}$, each $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.53-3.66,3.79-3.92\left(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, each $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, 4.17-4.37 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 4.47-4.57 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ ), 6.03$6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and NH), $7.20-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and NH), 8.36-8.70 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and NH); FAB-MS (positive, NBA) 1024.1 $\left[(M+H)^{+}\right.$, calcd 1023.5]. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{58} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}: C, 68.08 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.50; N, 19.16. Found: C, 68.25; H, 6.45; N, 18.43.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Rosette Assemblies $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{c}_{3} \cdot(\mathbf{B u C Y A})_{6} .3$ Equivalents of $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{c}$ and 6 equiv of BuCYA were mixed in toluene- $d_{8}$ (at 1 mM for the rosette assembly). The mixtures were heated until the solids were dissolved and then equilibrated for $15 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data are assigned to one unit of $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{c}$.

For $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\left(D_{3}=100 \%\right)$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (toluene- $\left.d_{8}\right) \delta 0.87(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.03\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.40-2.05$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.21,3.29,4.61,4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, each 2 H , $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right), 3.54\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.04-4.37\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), $4.71\left(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.0,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $\left.7.9,15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hh}), 6.71(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{Py}$ ), 7.12 (dt, $J=1.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 4-\mathrm{Py}), 7.27$ (t, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 3-\mathrm{Py}), 7.73-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hg}$ and ArH$), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, NHe), 8.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, NHf), 8.59 (dd, $J=1.8,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 6-\mathrm{Py}$ ), 8.69 (dd, $J=4.0,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHd}), 9.18$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHc}$ ), 14.49 (s, 2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHb}), 14.95$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHa}$ ).

For $\mathbf{1 b}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\left(D_{3}: C_{3 h}: C_{\mathrm{s}}=78: 10: 12\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (toluene- $d_{8}$ ) for $D_{3}$-isomer $\delta 0.86,1.04,1.15\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, each $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.47-$ $2.14\left(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH} 2 \mathrm{Ar}), 3.51-$
$3.64\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.03-4.34\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), 4.54-4.70 (m, 6 H, $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}$ ), $5.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9$, $\left.14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Py}\right), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Hh}), 6.78-8.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and NH), 8.46-8.60 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHd}$ and H6-Py), 9.09 (d, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H} 2-\mathrm{Py}), 9.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHc}), 14.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHb})$, 14.79 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHa}$ ); for $C_{3 h}$-isomer $14.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NHb}), 15.04$ (s, 2H, NHa ); for $C_{\mathrm{s}}$-isomer 14.33 (s, 1 H for assembly, Hb ), 14.75-14.83 (m, 3 H for assembly, Ha and Hb ), 15.19, 15.22 (s, each 1 H for assembly, Ha).

For $1 \mathbf{c}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}\left(D_{3}: C_{3 h}: C_{\mathrm{s}}=20: 20: 60\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (toluene- $d_{8}$ ) $\delta 14.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{3 h}-\mathrm{NHb}\right), 14.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, D_{3}-\mathrm{NHb}\right), 14.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{NHb}\right), 14.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $C_{3 h}-\mathrm{NHa}$ or $\left.C_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{NHb}\right), 14.83\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{3 h}-\mathrm{NHa}\right.$ or $\left.C_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{NHb}\right), 14.85\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{NHb}\right)$, $15.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, D_{3}-\mathrm{NHa}\right), 15.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{NHa}\right), 15.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, C_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{NHa}\right), 15.19(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.C_{\mathrm{s}}-\mathrm{NHa}\right)$. Because of the overlap of the spectra for the three isomers, only the lower magnetic field region was assigned.

EDA $\cdot \mathrm{L}-3 \mathrm{a}$ 1:1 Complex. To a stock solution $(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ of assembly) of $\mathbf{1 \mathbf { a } _ { 3 }} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$, which was prepared from $\mathbf{1 a}(15.4$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), BuCYA ( $5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and L-3a ( 5.4 mg , $0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{COOH} /$ pyridine $=1 / 1)$ in toluene- $d_{8}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added 15 mM toluene solution of EDA $\left(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{COOH}=\right.$ $1 / 1)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The white precipitate was collected by filtration and washed with toluene $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ to quantitatively give EDA $\cdot \mathrm{L}-3 \mathrm{a}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}-\right.$ OD) $\delta 3.17\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 5.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCOO}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, m-\mathrm{ArH}), 7.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, p-\mathrm{ArH}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, o-\mathrm{ArH})$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 57.41; H, 5.30; N, 6.70. Found: C, 57.14; H, 5.34; N, 6.68.

General Procedure for the Monitoring Racemization of (M)-1a3. (BuCYA) $\mathbf{6}_{6}$ To a stock solution ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ of assembly) of $(M)$ $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{3}$, which was prepared from $\mathbf{1 a}(15.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015$ mmol ), BuCYA ( $5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and L-3a ( $5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}$, $\mathrm{COOH} /$ pyridine $=1 / 1)$ in toluene $-d_{8}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added 15 mM toluene solution of EDA ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{NH}_{2} / \mathrm{COOH}=1 / 1$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A white precipitate of $1: 1$ complex EDA $\cdot \mathrm{L}-3 \mathbf{3}$ was immediately formed. The supernatant solution was subjected to a CD cell heated at measurement temperature, and the CD measurement was started immediately.

The observed time-dependent CD changes satisfied first-order kinetics (Scheme 2), in which $k_{\mathrm{rac}}\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$ is the rate constant for the racemization. Linear regression analysis of the CD data gave the rate constants $\left(k_{\mathrm{rac}}\right)$. Half-life time $\left(t_{1 / 2}\right)$ was obtained from eq 2 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1 / 2}=\ln 2 / 2 k_{\mathrm{rac}}=0.693 / 2 k_{\mathrm{rac}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The obtained $k_{\mathrm{rac}}$ values were analyzed according to the Arrhenius eq 3 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln k=\ln A-E_{\mathrm{a}} / R T \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $A\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$ is the preexponential factor, $E_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ is the activation energy, $R\left(8.314 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ is the gas constant, and $T(\mathrm{~K})$ is the absolute temperature.

General Procedure for the Monitoring Interconversion between $(\mathrm{M})-1 \mathrm{a}_{3} \cdot\left(\mathrm{BuCYA}_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-3 \mathrm{a})_{n}\right.$ and $(\mathrm{P})-1 \mathrm{a}_{3} \cdot(\text { BuCYA })_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-3 \mathrm{Ba})_{n} \cdot$ A stock solution $\left(1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mM}\right.$ of assembly) of $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}$, which was prepared from $\mathbf{1 a}(15.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol})$, BuCYA $(5.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol ), and L-3a ( $3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0105 \mathrm{mmol}, \mathrm{L}-3 \mathrm{a} /$ assembly $=2.1 / 1$ ) in toluene $-d_{8}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, was heated at reflux temperature in a sealed tube and immediately cooled at liquid-nitrogen temperature. The sample was warmed to room temperature and immediately subjected to a CD cell heated at the measurement temperature, and the CD measurement was started immediately.

The observed time-dependent CD changes satisfied a kinetic model of Scheme 4, in which $k_{1}($ from $M$ to $P)$ and $k_{2}($ from $P$ to $M)\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$ are the rate constants for the interconversion of $P$ and $M$ diastereoisomers. Linear regression analysis of the CD data gave the total rate constants $\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)$. Each value of $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ was estimated from an eq 4:

## $K=\left[(P) \mathbf{- 1 \mathbf { a } _ { 3 }} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}\right] /$

$\left[(M)-\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6} \cdot(\mathrm{~L}-\mathbf{3 a})_{n}\right]=k_{1} / k_{2}(4)$
in which association constant ( $K$ ) was estimated from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integration ratio of the two diastereomeric assemblies. The obtained $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ values were analyzed according to the Arrhenius eq 3.

Supporting Information Available: Plot of the $d e$ versus CD, Hill plots, and Scatchard plots for $\mathbf{1 a}_{3} \cdot(\mathrm{BuCYA})_{6}$ in the presence of L-3a and L-3b. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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